

ESHRE guideline: management of women with endometriosis†

G.A.J. Dunselman^{1,*}, N. Vermeulen², C. Becker³, C. Calhaz-Jorge⁴,
T. D'Hooghe⁵, B. De Bie⁶, O. Heikinheimo⁷, A.W. Horne⁸, L. Kiesel⁹,
A. Nap¹⁰, A. Prentice¹¹, E. Saridogan¹², D. Soriano¹³, and W. Nelen¹⁴

¹Department of Obstetrics & Gynaecology, Research Institute GROW, Maastricht University Medical Centre, PO Box 5800, 6202 AZ Maastricht, The Netherlands ²European Society of Human Reproduction and Embryology, Central Office, Meerstraat 60, 1852 Grimbergen, Belgium ³Nuffield Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, University of Oxford, John Radcliffe Hospital, Headley Way, Headington, Oxford OX3 9DU, UK ⁴Faculdade de Medicina da Universidade de Lisboa, Human Reproduction Unit, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, CHLN/Hospital de Santa Maria, Avenida Professor Egas Moniz, 1649-035 Lisboa, Portugal ⁵Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Department of Development and Regeneration, Herestraat 49 bus 611, 3000 Leuven, Belgium ⁶Endometriose Stichting, Bourgognestraat 9, 6137 JH Sittard-Geleen, The Netherlands ⁷Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology (Kätilöopisto hospital), PO Box 610 (Sofianlehdonkatu 5), 00029-HUS, Finland ⁸MRC Centre for Reproductive Health, University of Edinburgh, QMRI, 47 Little France Crescent Edinburgh EH16 4SA, UK ⁹University Hospital of Münster, Albert-Schweitzer-Campus 1, building A1 48149 Münster/Westf., Germany ¹⁰Rijnstate Arnhem, Wagnerlaan 55, 6800 TA Arnhem, The Netherlands ¹¹Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, The Rosie Hospital, Robinson Way, Cambridge CB2 0SW, UK ¹²University College London Hospital, Women's Health Division, 250 Euston Road, London NW1 2PG, UK ¹³Endometriosis Center, Sheba Medical Center, 16 Remalt Street, 52281 Ramat Gan, Israel ¹⁴Radboud University Nijmegen Medical Centre, PO Box 9101, 6500 HB Nijmegen (115), The Netherlands

*Correspondence address. E-mail: g.dunselman@maastrichtuniversity.nl

Submitted on October 14, 2013; resubmitted on October 14, 2013; accepted on November 18, 2013

STUDY QUESTION: What is the optimal management of women with endometriosis based on the best available evidence in the literature?

SUMMARY ANSWER: Using the structured methodology of the *Manual for ESHRE Guideline Development*, 83 recommendations were formulated that answered the 22 key questions on optimal management of women with endometriosis.

WHAT IS KNOWN ALREADY: The European Society of Human Reproduction and Embryology (ESHRE) guideline for the diagnosis and treatment of endometriosis (2005) has been a reference point for best clinical care in endometriosis for years, but this guideline was in need of updating.

STUDY DESIGN, SIZE, DURATION: This guideline was produced by a group of experts in the field using the methodology of the *Manual for ESHRE Guideline Development*, including a thorough systematic search of the literature, quality assessment of the included papers up to January 2012 and consensus within the guideline group on all recommendations. To ensure input from women with endometriosis, a patient representative was part of the guideline development group. In addition, patient and additional clinical input was collected during the scoping and review phase of the guideline.

PARTICIPANTS/MATERIALS, SETTING, METHODS: NA.

MAIN RESULTS AND THE ROLE OF CHANCE: The guideline provides 83 recommendations on diagnosis of endometriosis and on the treatment of endometriosis-associated pain and infertility, on the management of women in whom the disease is found incidentally (without pain or infertility), on prevention of recurrence of disease and/or painful symptoms, on treatment of menopausal symptoms in patients with a history of endometriosis and on the possible association of endometriosis and malignancy.

LIMITATIONS, REASONS FOR CAUTION: We identified several areas in care of women with endometriosis for which robust evidence is lacking. These areas were addressed by formulating good practice points (GPP), based on the expert opinion of the guideline group members.

WIDER IMPLICATIONS OF THE FINDINGS: Since 32 out of the 83 recommendations for the management of women with endometriosis could not be based on high level evidence and therefore were GPP, the guideline group formulated research recommendations to guide future research with the aim of increasing the body of evidence.

† ESHRE pages content are not externally peer reviewed. This manuscript has been approved by the Executive Committee of ESHRE.

STUDY FUNDING/COMPETING INTEREST(S): The guideline was developed and funded by ESHRE, covering expenses associated with the guideline meetings, with the literature searches and with the implementation of the guideline. The guideline group members did not receive payment. All guideline group members disclosed any relevant conflicts of interest (see Conflicts of interest).

TRIAL REGISTRATION NUMBER: NA.

Key words: endometriosis / European Society of Human Reproduction and Embryology / guideline / evidence based

Introduction

Endometriosis is defined as the presence of endometrial-like tissue outside the uterus, which induces a chronic, inflammatory reaction (Kennedy et al., 2005). While some women with endometriosis can experience painful symptoms and/or infertility, others have no symptoms at all. The exact prevalence of endometriosis is unknown but estimates range from 2 to 10% of women of reproductive age, to 50% of infertile women (Eskenazi and Warner, 1997; Meuleman et al., 2009).

Why were these guidelines produced?

The ESHRE Guideline for the Diagnosis and Treatment of Endometriosis (2005) has been a reference point for best clinical care in endometriosis for years (Kennedy et al., 2005). Since this European Society of Human Reproduction and Embryology (ESHRE) guideline needed updating, a Guideline on the Management of Endometriosis was produced, using the methodology of the Manual for ESHRE Guideline Development published in 2009 (<http://www.eshre.eu/Guidelines>), with the aim of offering best practice advice on the care of women with endometriosis, including diagnosis and treatment for endometriosis-associated pain and infertility. Furthermore, information is provided on asymptomatic endometriosis, on primary and secondary prevention, on menopausal symptoms in women with a history of endometriosis and on endometriosis and malignancy.

What are the similarities and differences with the previous guideline?

The current guideline development was initiated by members of the 2005 guideline development group (GDG), supplemented with advice from additional experts in the field. Key questions were formulated and European and national patient organizations representing women

with endometriosis were asked, which were the main problems they faced in the management of the disease. This resulted in key questions that, as could be expected, were not essentially different from the key questions that formed the basis of the former guideline. Interestingly, a substantial part of the recommendations is also similar, indicating on the one hand a lack of recent, high quality studies in some areas and on the other hand similarities in retrieving the evidence from the literature by experts and the formal retrieving process of the evidence by a structured methodology of extensive literature searches.

However, the main difference between the two guidelines is the structured methodology, based on the *Manual for ESHRE Guideline Development*, including objective assessment of the literature and an extensive and transparent review by relevant stakeholders.

Methods

All details on the methodological approach of this guideline can be found in the *Manual for ESHRE Guideline Development* (W.L.D.M. Nelen et al., version 2009).

In short, questioning patients and clinicians resulted in 22 questions on the management of women with endometriosis that were structured in PICO format (Patient, Intervention, Comparison and Outcome). For each question the best available evidence for answering the key questions was searched in PUBMED/MEDLINE and the Cochrane library. The literature searches included studies written in English and published before 1 January 2012 or entered in PUBMED before 1 January 2012. Based on the collected evidence, and after constructing evidence tables and quality assessment, draft recommendations were written by the assigned expert guideline group member. Three 2-day meetings were organized to discuss the evidence and recommendations and to reach consensus on the final formulation of the recommendations.

For each recommendation, a Grade (A–D, where A is the highest quality) was assigned based on the strength of the supporting evidence (scored from

Table 1 Key to grades of recommendations used in ESHRE guideline.

Grade of recommendations	Based on
A	Meta-analysis or multiple randomized trials (of high quality)
B	Meta-analysis or multiple randomized trials (of moderate quality) Single randomized trial, large non-randomised trial(s) or case control/cohort studies (of high quality)
C	Single randomized trial, large non-randomised trial(s) or case control/cohort studies (of moderate quality)
D	Non-analytic studies or case reports / case series (of high or moderate quality)
GPP (Good practice point)	Based on experts' opinion

All studies of low quality were excluded from the guideline

I++ to 4), based on the grading system of the Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network (2010), which was a reference system in 2010 when the guideline development was initiated. In the case of the absence of evidence, the GDG could decide on writing good practice points (GPP), based on clinical expertise (Table I).

After finalization of the guideline draft, stakeholders were invited through the ESHRE newsletter (*n* = 6000) or personal email (*n* = 692) to review the guideline. Four hundred and eighty-four comments from 61 reviewers were processed by the methodological expert (NV) and the chair of the GDG (GD) either by adapting the content of the guideline and/or by replying to the reviewer. The review process was summarized in the review report, published on the ESHRE website.

The guideline will be considered for update 4 years after publication, with an intermediate assessment of the need for updating 2 years after publication.

Key questions and recommendations

Diagnosis

Several studies have reported a long delay in the diagnosis of endometriosis. Recent studies report, specifically for Europe, an overall diagnostic delay of 10 years in Germany and Austria, 8 years in the UK and Spain, 7 years in Norway, 7–10 years in Italy and 4–5 years in Ireland and Belgium (Ballard et al., 2006; Nnoaham et al., 2011; Hudelist et al., 2012).

Which symptoms are associated with or predictive of the diagnosis of endometriosis?

Several studies explored symptoms and signs associated with endometriosis, resulting in a long list of endometriosis-associated symptoms, including dysmenorrhoea, chronic pelvic pain, deep dyspareunia, cyclical intestinal complaints, fatigue/weariness and infertility. However, the included studies all had a retrospective design and did not show a predictive value of these symptoms (Davis et al., 1993; Forman et al., 1993; Lemaire, 2004; Thomassin et al., 2004; Seracchioli et al., 2008; Luscombe et al., 2009; Bellelis et al., 2010).

One large retrospective analysis described symptoms that are predictive of the diagnosis of endometriosis, including severe dysmenorrhoea in infertile women, abdominopelvic pain, dysmenorrhoea, heavy menstrual bleeding, infertility, dyspareunia, postcoital bleeding and/or previous diagnosis of ovarian cyst, irritable bowel syndrome or pelvic inflammatory disease (Ballard et al., 2008).

Based on the included studies and expert opinion, the GDG decided on the following GPP:

The GDG recommends that clinicians should consider the diagnosis of endometriosis:	GPP
in the presence of gynaecological symptoms such as: dysmenorrhoea, non-cyclical pelvic pain, deep dyspareunia, infertility and fatigue in the presence of any of the above.	
in women of reproductive age with non-gynaecological cyclical symptoms (dyschezia, dysuria, haematuria and rectal bleeding, shoulder pain).	

What findings during clinical examination are predictive for the presence and localization of pelvic endometriosis?

Clinical examination in women suspected to have endometriosis includes physical examination of the pelvis but also the inspection and palpation of the abdomen. Regarding findings during clinical examination predictive for the presence and localization of pelvic endometriosis, the following recommendations were written:

The GDG recommends that clinicians should perform clinical examination in all women suspected of endometriosis, although vaginal examination may be inappropriate for adolescents and/or women without previous sexual intercourse. In such cases, rectal examination can be helpful for the diagnosis of endometriosis.	GPP
Clinicians may consider the diagnosis of deep endometriosis in women with (painful) induration and/or nodules of the rectovaginal wall found during clinical examination or visible vaginal nodules in the posterior vaginal fornix (Bazot et al., 2009).	C
Clinicians may consider the diagnosis of ovarian endometrioma in women with adnexal masses detected during clinical examination (Ripps and Martin, 1992; Koninckx et al., 1996; Eskenazi et al., 2001; Condous et al., 2007; Bazot et al., 2009).	C
Clinicians may consider the diagnosis of endometriosis in women suspected of the disease even if the clinical examination is normal (Chapron et al., 2002).	C

Can the diagnosis of endometriosis be made by application of specific medical technologies?

The diagnosis of endometriosis is suspected based on the history, the symptoms and signs, is corroborated by physical examination and imaging techniques and is finally proven by histological examination of specimens collected during laparoscopy. The combination of laparoscopy and the histological verification of endometrial glands and/or stroma is considered to be the gold standard for the diagnosis of the disease. In many cases the typical appearances of endometriosis implants in the abdominal cavity are regarded as proof that endometriosis is present.

Instead of establishing the diagnosis of endometriosis by invasive approaches, such as surgery, empirical medical treatment for pain symptoms can be prescribed, as discussed later.

Laparoscopy. A systematic review on the accuracy of laparoscopy to diagnose endometriosis, with biopsy and histology as gold standard, showed that only limited reports of good quality exist assessing the value of visual diagnosis of endometriosis at laparoscopy. As shown in a systematic review, the accuracy of a diagnostic laparoscopy was evaluated in only four studies in a total of 433 women. A negative diagnostic laparoscopy seems to be highly accurate for excluding endometriosis and thereby useful to aid the clinician in decision-making. However, this is under the assumption that the diagnostic laparoscopy is well performed and preceded by appropriate preoperative assessment. A positive laparoscopy is less informative and of limited value when used without taking biopsies to get histological confirmation of the diagnosis (Wykes et al., 2004).

The GDG recommends that clinicians:	GPP
perform a laparoscopy to diagnose endometriosis, although evidence is lacking that a positive laparoscopy 'without histology' proves the presence of disease.	
confirm a positive laparoscopy by histology, since positive histology confirms the diagnosis of endometriosis even though negative histology does not exclude it.	
The GDG recommends that clinicians obtain tissue for histology in women undergoing surgery for ovarian endometrioma and/or deep infiltrating disease, to exclude rare instances of malignancy.	GPP
<i>Ultrasound.</i>	
In women with symptoms and signs of rectal endometriosis, transvaginal sonography (TVS) is useful for identifying or ruling out rectal endometriosis (Hudelist et al., 2011).	A
The GDG notes that TVS for the diagnosis of rectal endometriosis is highly operator dependent, and experience is often lacking, hence TVS is not recommended for diagnosis of rectal endometriosis, unless it is performed by clinicians highly experienced in TVS.	
Clinicians are recommended to perform TVS to diagnose or to exclude an ovarian endometrioma (Moore et al., 2002).	A
The GDG recommends that clinicians base the diagnosis of ovarian endometrioma in premenopausal women on the following ultrasound characteristics: ground glass echogenicity and one to four compartments and no papillary structures with detectable blood flow (Van Holsbeke et al., 2010).	GPP
Clinicians should be aware that the usefulness of 3D ultrasound to diagnose rectovaginal endometriosis is not well established (Pascual et al., 2010).	D
<i>Magnetic resonance imaging.</i>	
Clinicians should be aware that the usefulness of magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) to diagnose peritoneal endometriosis is not well established (Stratton et al., 2003).	D
<i>Biomarkers.</i>	
Clinicians are recommended not to use biomarkers in endometrial tissue, menstrual or uterine fluids (May et al., 2011) and/or immunological biomarkers, including CA-125, in plasma, urine or serum, (Mol et al., 1998; May et al., 2010) to diagnose endometriosis.	A
<i>Can the extent of deep endometriosis be established by application of specific medical technologies (Barium enema, transvaginal sonography (TVS), transrectal sonography and MRI)?</i>	
The GDG recommends that clinicians should assess ureter, bladder and bowel involvement by additional imaging if there is a suspicion based on history or physical examination of deep endometriosis, in preparation for further management.	GPP

Treatment of endometriosis-associated pain

Empirical treatment of pain

Many women suffering from pelvic pain, while there is a high suspicion of endometriosis, use analgesics and hormonal medication without a prior definitive diagnosis of the disease by laparoscopy. This is partially due to the invasiveness of the laparoscopic procedure, but also to the ease of prescribing hormonal contraceptives, which would be prescribed for prevention of pregnancy anyway. This empirical treatment is especially common in adolescents with pelvic pain and dysmenorrhoea. However, before starting empirical treatment other causes of pelvic pain symptoms should be considered and excluded where possible. It is common practice that if women do not react favourably to empirical treatment a laparoscopy is performed to exclude or diagnose endometriosis. However, the response to hormonal treatment does not always predict the presence or absence of endometriosis (Ling, 1999; Jenkins et al., 2008). Finally, it has been argued that starting hormonal contraceptives in young girls because of primary dysmenorrhoea could be indicative of the diagnosis of deep endometriosis in later life (Chapron et al., 2011). It is clearly a paradox that by recommending empirical treatment in symptomatic (young) women one might induce the above mentioned delay in diagnosing the disease.

The GDG recommends clinicians to counsel women with symptoms presumed to be due to endometriosis thoroughly, and to empirically treat them with adequate analgesia, combined hormonal contraceptives or progestagens.	GPP
---	-----

Are hormonal therapies effective for painful symptoms associated with endometriosis?

Currently, hormonal contraceptives, progestagens and anti-progestagens, GnRH agonists and antagonists and aromatase inhibitors are in clinical use. With no overwhelming evidence to support particular treatments over others, it is important that the decisions involved in any treatment plan are individual, and that a woman is able to make these based on an informed choice and a good understanding of what is happening to her body.

Clinicians are recommended to prescribe hormonal treatment [hormonal contraceptives (Level B), progestagens (Level A), anti-progestagens (Level A), or GnRH agonists (Level A)] as one of the options, as it reduces endometriosis-associated pain (Vercellini et al., 1993; Brown et al., 2010, 2012).	A–B
The GDG recommends that clinicians take patient preferences, side effects, efficacy, costs and availability into consideration when choosing hormonal treatment for endometriosis-associated pain.	GPP

Hormonal contraceptives. Hormonal contraceptives were shown to be effective in treating pain in women with endometriosis, as discussed in a Cochrane review, which is based on only one small study (Vercellini et al., 1993; Davis et al., 2007). Other studies compared different regimens and routes of administration for hormonal contraceptives (Vercellini et al., 2003, 2010a,b). Despite limited evidence of effectiveness, hormonal contraceptives are widely used as treatment for pain in women with endometriosis, which could be due to some practical

advantages, including contraceptive protection, long-term safety and control of menstrual cycle.

Clinicians can consider prescribing a combined hormonal contraceptive, as it reduces endometriosis-associated dyspareunia, dysmenorrhoea and non-menstrual pain (Vercellini <i>et al.</i> , 1993).	B
Clinicians may consider the continuous use of a combined oral contraceptive pill in women suffering from endometriosis-associated dysmenorrhoea (Vercellini <i>et al.</i> , 2003).	C
Clinicians may consider the use of a vaginal contraceptive ring or a transdermal (oestrogen/progestin) patch to reduce endometriosis-associated dysmenorrhoea, dyspareunia and chronic pelvic pain (Vercellini <i>et al.</i> , 2010a,b).	C

Progestagens and anti-progestagens.

Clinicians are recommended to use progestagens [medroxyprogesterone acetate (oral or depot), dienogest, cyproterone acetate, norethisterone acetate or danazol] or anti-progestagens (gestrinone) as one of the options, to reduce endometriosis-associated pain (Brown <i>et al.</i> , 2012).	A
The GDG recommends that clinicians take the different side-effect profiles of progestagens and anti-progestagens into account when prescribing these drugs, especially irreversible side effects (e.g. thrombosis and androgenic side effects).	GPP
Clinicians can consider prescribing a levonorgestrel-releasing intrauterine system (LNG-IUS) as one of the options to reduce endometriosis-associated pain (Petta <i>et al.</i> , 2005; Gomes <i>et al.</i> , 2007; Ferreira <i>et al.</i> , 2010).	B

GnRH agonists. GnRH agonists, with and without add-back therapy, are effective in the relief of endometriosis-associated pain, but can be associated with severe side effects, which should be discussed with the woman when offering treatment. No evidence exists on the effectiveness of GnRH antagonists for endometriosis-associated pain (Brown *et al.*, 2010).

Clinicians are recommended to use GnRH agonists (nafarelin, leuprolide, buserelin, goserelin or triptorelin), as one of the options for reducing endometriosis-associated pain, although evidence is limited regarding dosage or duration of treatment (Brown <i>et al.</i> , 2010).	A
Clinicians are recommended to prescribe hormonal add-back therapy to coincide with the start of GnRH agonist therapy, to prevent bone loss and hypoestrogenic symptoms during treatment. This is not known to reduce the effect of treatment on pain relief (Makarainen <i>et al.</i> , 1996; Bergqvist <i>et al.</i> , 1997; Taskin <i>et al.</i> , 1997; Moghissi <i>et al.</i> , 1998).	A
The GDG recommends clinicians to give careful consideration to the use of GnRH agonists in young women and adolescents, since these women may not have reached maximum bone density.	GPP

Aromatase inhibitors.

In women with pain from rectovaginal endometriosis, refractory to other medical or surgical treatment, clinicians can consider prescribing aromatase inhibitors in combination with oral contraceptive pills, progestagens or GnRH analogues, as they reduce endometriosis-associated pain (Nawathe <i>et al.</i> , 2008; Ferrero <i>et al.</i> , 2011).	B
--	---

Due to the severe side effects, aromatase inhibitors should only be prescribed to women after all other options for medical or surgical treatment are exhausted.

Are analgesics effective for symptomatic relief of pain associated with endometriosis?

There is virtually no evidence on the use of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) for endometriosis, except from one study published in 1985 and one study on the cyclo-oxygenase 2 inhibitor rofecoxib, that has been withdrawn from the market in many countries due to severe side effects (Allen *et al.*, 2009). However, NSAIDs have a favourable effect on primary dysmenorrhoea and are widely used as a first-line treatment of endometriosis-associated pain (Marjoribanks *et al.*, 2010).

The GDG recommends that clinicians should consider NSAIDs or other analgesics to reduce endometriosis-associated pain. GPP

When prescribing NSAIDs, clinicians should discuss the side effects associated with frequent use, including inhibition of ovulation, risk of gastric ulceration and cardiovascular disease, with the patient.

Is surgery effective for painful symptoms associated with endometriosis?

When endometriosis is identified at laparoscopy, clinicians are recommended to surgically treat endometriosis, as this is effective for reducing endometriosis-associated pain, i.e. 'see and treat' (Jacobson *et al.*, 2009). A

Laparotomy and laparoscopy are equally effective in the treatment of endometriosis-associated pain, but laparoscopic surgery is usually associated with less pain, shorter hospital stay and quicker recovery as well as better cosmetic outcome, hence it is usually preferred to open surgery.

Clinicians may consider both ablation and excision of peritoneal endometriosis to reduce endometriosis-associated pain (Wright *et al.*, 2005; Healey *et al.*, 2010). C

Excision of lesions could be preferential with regard to the possibility of retrieving samples for histology. Furthermore, ablative techniques are unlikely to be suitable for advanced forms of endometriosis.

When performing surgery in women with ovarian endometrioma, clinicians should perform cystectomy instead of drainage and coagulation, as cystectomy reduces endometriosis-associated pain (Hart *et al.*, 2008). A

Clinicians can consider performing cystectomy rather than CO₂ laser vaporization in women with ovarian endometrioma, because of a lower recurrence rate of the endometrioma (Carmona *et al.*, 2011). B

Surgery for deep endometriosis appears possible and effective but is associated with significant complication rates, particularly when bowel surgery is required. The reported total intraoperative complication rate is 2.1% and total post-operative complication rate is 13.9% (9.5% minor, 4.6% major complications) (Kondo *et al.*, 2011). There is an ongoing debate about the indication for shaving nodules as opposed to

segmental resection (Donnez and Squifflet, 2010; Meuleman et al., 2011a,b).

Clinicians can consider performing surgical removal of deep endometriosis, as it reduces endometriosis-associated pain and improves quality of life (De Cicco et al., 2011; Meuleman et al., 2011a,b).	B
The GDG recommends that clinicians refer women with suspected or diagnosed deep endometriosis to a centre of expertise that offers all available treatments in a multidisciplinary context.	GPP

Hysterectomy.

The GDG recommends that clinicians consider hysterectomy with removal of the ovaries and all visible endometriosis lesions in women who have completed their family and failed to respond to more conservative treatments. Women should be informed that hysterectomy will not necessarily cure the symptoms or the disease.	GPP
--	-----

Surgical interruption of pelvic nerve pathways.

Clinicians should not perform laparoscopic uterosacral nerve ablation (LUNA) as an additional procedure to conservative surgery to reduce endometriosis-associated pain (Proctor et al., 2005).	A
Clinicians should be aware that presacral neurectomy (PSN) is effective as an additional procedure to conservative surgery to reduce endometriosis-associated midline pain, but it requires a high degree of skill and is a potentially hazardous procedure (Proctor et al., 2005).	A

Adhesion prevention after endometriosis surgery.

Clinicians can use oxidized regenerated cellulose during operative laparoscopy for endometriosis, as it prevents adhesion formation (Ahmad et al., 2008).	B
It is not reasonable for clinicians to use icodextrin after operative laparoscopy for endometriosis to prevent adhesion formation, as no benefit has been shown (Brown et al., 2007; Trew et al., 2011).	B
The GDG recommends that clinicians should be aware that other anti-adhesion agents (polytetrafluoroethylene surgical membrane, hyaluronic acid products) have been studied and proven effective for adhesion prevention in the context of pelvic surgery, although not specifically in women with endometriosis.	GPP

Are preoperative hormonal therapies effective for treatment of pain?

Clinicians should not prescribe preoperative hormonal treatment to improve the outcome of surgery for pain in women with endometriosis (Furness et al., 2004).	A
--	---

Are short-term post-operative hormonal therapies effective for treatment of pain?

The GDG recommends that clinicians clearly distinguish adjunctive short-term (<6 months) hormonal treatment after surgery from long-term (>6 months) hormonal treatment; the latter is aimed at secondary prevention.	GPP
---	-----

Based on the current evidence, the GDG concluded that there is no proven benefit of post-operative hormonal therapy (within 6 months after surgery), if this treatment is prescribed with the sole aim of improving the outcome of surgery (Furness et al., 2004). However, there is also no proven harm of prescribing hormonal therapy after surgery; hence some forms of post-operative hormonal therapy could be prescribed for other indications, as contraception or secondary prevention.

Clinicians should not prescribe adjunctive hormonal treatment in women with endometriosis for endometriosis-associated pain after surgery, as it does not improve the outcome of surgery for pain (Furness et al., 2004).	A
---	---

Is there a role for secondary prevention of disease and painful symptoms in women treated for endometriosis?

Secondary prevention is defined as interventions to prevent the recurrence of pain symptoms or the recurrence of disease in the long-term, defined as more than 6 months after surgery.

The GDG states that there is a role for prevention of recurrence of disease and painful symptoms in women surgically treated for endometriosis. The choice of intervention depends on patient preferences, costs, availability and side effects. For many interventions that might be considered here, there are limited data.	GPP
In women operated on for an endometrioma (≥ 3 cm), clinicians should perform ovarian cystectomy, instead of drainage and electrocoagulation, for the secondary prevention of endometriosis-associated dysmenorrhoea, dyspareunia and non-menstrual pelvic pain (Hart et al., 2008).	A
After cystectomy for ovarian endometrioma in women not immediately seeking conception, clinicians are recommended to prescribe combined hormonal contraceptives for the secondary prevention of endometrioma (Vercellini et al., 2010a,b).	A
In women operated on for endometriosis, clinicians are recommended to prescribe post-operative use of a LNG-IUS or a combined hormonal contraceptive for at least 18–24 months, as one of the options for the secondary prevention of endometriosis-associated dysmenorrhoea, but not for non-menstrual pelvic pain or dyspareunia (Abou-Setta et al., 2006; Seracchioli et al., 2009).	A

Extragenital endometriosis

Extragenital endometriosis can affect different tissues and body parts outside the genital tract. Pain is the most common presenting symptom, although a wide range of symptoms can manifest. The evidence of the results of the different options to treat extragenital endometriosis is limited and mainly published in case reports resulting in Level D recommendations.

Clinicians may consider surgical removal of symptomatic extragenital endometriosis, when possible, to relieve symptoms (Liang et al., 1996; Marinis et al., 2006; Nisolle et al., 2007; Nissotakis et al., 2010; Nezhat et al., 2011; Song et al., 2011).	D
---	---

When surgical treatment is difficult or impossible, clinicians may consider medical treatment of extragenital endometriosis to relieve symptoms (Bergqvist, 1992; Joseph and Sahn, 1996; Jubanyik and Comite, 1997). D

What other pain management strategies are effective for symptomatic relief of pain associated with endometriosis?

The GDG has retrieved and evaluated existing evidence on complementary and alternative treatment options for pain in women with endometriosis, and concluded that the effectiveness of high-frequency transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation, dietary supplements, acupuncture and traditional Chinese medicine are not well established for pain management in endometriosis (Astin et al., 1998; Eisenberg et al., 1998; Proctor et al., 2002; Sesti et al., 2007; Flower et al., 2009; Zhu et al., 2011).

The GPP below should be considered in light of the methodological restriction to papers written in English and of the inherent difference between the holistic Chinese approach and the scientific approach of the Western world.

The GDG does not recommend the use of nutritional supplements, complementary or alternative medicine in the treatment of endometriosis-associated pain, because the potential benefits and/or harms are unclear. However, the GDG acknowledges that some women who seek complementary and alternative medicine may feel benefit from this. GPP

Treatment of endometriosis-associated infertility

Women with endometriosis are often confronted with infertility. For the literature searches, the outcomes included were live birth rate, pregnancy, multiple pregnancy rates, miscarriage rates, ectopic pregnancy, teratogenicity and side effects of treatment. It should be noted that although live birth rate is the most relevant outcome to be assessed, most studies only report on (biochemical or clinical) pregnancy rates. An increase in pregnancy rate could be an indication of, but does not necessarily translate to, an increase in live birth rate.

Are hormonal therapies effective for infertility associated with endometriosis?

Suppression of ovarian function (by means of hormonal contraceptives, progestagens, GnRH analogues or danazol) to improve fertility in minimal to mild endometriosis is not effective and should not be offered for this indication alone. The published evidence does not comment on more severe disease (Hughes et al., 2007).

In infertile women with endometriosis, clinicians should not prescribe hormonal treatment for suppression of ovarian function to improve fertility (Hughes et al., 2007). A

Is surgery effective for infertility associated with endometriosis?

In women with minimal to mild endometriosis, the evidence, summarised in a Cochrane review, shows that operative laparoscopy is more effective than diagnostic laparoscopy in improving ongoing pregnancy rates. The comparative effectiveness of different surgical

techniques is less well studied (Nowroozi et al., 1987; Chang et al., 1997; Jacobson et al., 2010).

In infertile women with AFS/ASRM Stage I/II endometriosis, clinicians should perform operative laparoscopy (excision or ablation of the endometriosis lesions) including adhesiolysis, rather than performing diagnostic laparoscopy only, to increase ongoing pregnancy rates (Nowroozi et al., 1987; Jacobson et al., 2010). A

In infertile women with AFS/ASRM Stage I/II endometriosis, clinicians may consider CO₂ laser vaporization of endometriosis, instead of monopolar electrocoagulation, since laser vaporization is associated with higher cumulative spontaneous pregnancy rates (Chang et al., 1997). C

In women with ovarian endometrioma receiving surgery for infertility or pain, excision of endometrioma capsule increases the spontaneous post-operative pregnancy rate when compared with drainage and electrocoagulation of the endometrioma wall (Hart et al., 2008).

In infertile women with ovarian endometrioma undergoing surgery, clinicians should perform excision of the endometrioma capsule, instead of drainage and electrocoagulation of the endometrioma wall, to increase spontaneous pregnancy rates (Hart et al., 2008). A

The GDG recommends that clinicians counsel women with endometrioma regarding the risks of reduced ovarian function after surgery and the possible loss of the ovary. The decision to proceed with surgery should be considered carefully if the woman has had previous ovarian surgery. GPP

In women with moderate to severe endometriosis, there are no controlled studies comparing reproductive outcome after surgery and after expectant management. Two high quality prospective cohort studies showed crude spontaneous pregnancy rates of 57–69% (moderate endometriosis) and 52–68% (severe endometriosis) after laparoscopic surgery, which are much higher than the crude pregnancy rates of 33% (moderate) and 0% (severe) after expectant management, reported in a third prospective cohort study (Olive et al., 1985; Nezhat et al., 1989; Vercellini et al., 2006).

In infertile women with AFS/ASRM Stage III/IV endometriosis, clinicians can consider operative laparoscopy, instead of expectant management, to increase spontaneous pregnancy rates (Nezhat et al., 1989; Vercellini et al., 2006). B

Are hormonal therapies effective as an adjunct to surgical therapy for treatment of infertility?

In infertile women with endometriosis, the GDG recommends clinicians not to prescribe adjunctive hormonal treatment before surgery to improve spontaneous pregnancy rates, as suitable evidence is lacking. GPP

It is important to realize that clinicians should not withhold hormonal treatment for pain from symptomatic women in the waiting period before undergoing surgery or medically assisted reproduction (MAR).

In infertile women with endometriosis, clinicians should not prescribe adjunctive hormonal treatment after surgery to improve spontaneous pregnancy rates (Furness et al., 2004). A

What other management strategies are effective for infertility associated with endometriosis?

No evidence was found showing a beneficial effect of different types of nutritional supplements, complementary and alternative treatments

for improving infertility in women with endometriosis (Gerhard and Postneek, 1992; Harris and Rees, 2000; Xu et al., 2003; Agarwal et al., 2005; Burks-Wicks et al., 2005; Chan, 2008; Wurn et al., 2008; Zhou and Qu, 2009).

The GDG does not recommend the use of nutritional supplements, complementary or alternative medicine in the treatment of endometriosis-associated infertility, because the potential benefits and/or harms are unclear. However, the GDG acknowledges that some women who seek complementary and alternative medicine may feel benefit from this. GPP

MAR in women with endometriosis

The World Health Organization ICMART (International Committee for Monitoring Assisted Reproductive Technology) definitions are used for the terms MAR and assisted reproduction technology (ART) (Zegers-Hochschild et al., 2009).

Is MAR effective for infertility associated with endometriosis?

Intrauterine insemination. In a RCT, the live birth rate was found to be 5.6 times higher (95% confidence interval (CI) 1.18–17.4) in couples with minimal to mild endometriosis after controlled ovarian stimulation with gonadotrophins and IUI compared with couples after expectant management (Tummon et al., 1997). A longitudinal study showed a 5.1 times higher pregnancy rate (95% CI 1.1–22.5) in couples receiving Intrauterine insemination (IUI) after controlled ovarian stimulation with gonadotrophins compared with IUI alone. (Nulsen et al., 1993).

In infertile women with AFS/ASRM Stage I/II endometriosis, clinicians may perform IUI with controlled ovarian stimulation, instead of expectant management, as it increases live birth rates (Tummon et al., 1997). C

instead of IUI alone, as it increases pregnancy rates (Nulsen et al., 1993). In infertile women with AFS/ASRM Stage I/II endometriosis, clinicians may consider performing IUI with controlled ovarian stimulation within 6 months after surgical treatment, since pregnancy rates are similar to those achieved in unexplained infertility (Werbroutck et al., 2006). C

ART. The influence of endometriosis on the success rate of IVF/ICSI is not unequivocal. The pregnancy rates after IVF/ICSI were reported to be lower in patients with Stage III and IV endometriosis as compared with those with tubal factor (Barnhart et al., 2002). It has to be noted however, that some large databases show that endometriosis does not adversely affect pregnancy rates [e.g. the Society for Assisted Reproductive Technology (SART) and the Human Fertilisation and Embryology Authority (HFEA)]. GnRH antagonist protocol may be not inferior to GnRH agonist protocol in women with minimal to mild endometriosis and endometrioma (Pabuccu et al., 2007).

The GDG recommends the use of ART for infertility associated with endometriosis, especially if tubal function is compromised or if there is male factor infertility, and/or other treatments have failed. GPP

In infertile women with endometriosis, clinicians may offer treatment with ART after surgery, since cumulative endometriosis recurrence rates are not increased after controlled ovarian stimulation for IVF/ICSI (D'Hooghe et al., 2006; Benaglia et al., 2010; Coccia et al., 2010; Benaglia et al., 2011). C

In women with endometriomas, clinicians may use antibiotic prophylaxis at the time of oocyte retrieval, although the risk of ovarian abscess following follicle aspiration is low (Benaglia et al., 2008). D

Are medical therapies effective as an adjunct to treatment with ART for endometriosis-associated infertility?

In a Cochrane review on the effect of hormonal treatment prior to MAR, the authors conclude that down-regulation for 3–6 months with a GnRH agonist in women with endometriosis increases the odds of clinical pregnancy by >4-fold (Sallam et al., 2006). Potential adverse effects of the intervention (miscarriage rates, multiple pregnancy rates or ectopic pregnancy rates) were not addressed in the included studies.

Clinicians can prescribe GnRH agonists for a period of 3–6 months prior to treatment with ART to improve clinical pregnancy rates in infertile women with endometriosis (Sallam et al., 2006). B

Should surgery be performed prior to treatment with ART to improve reproductive outcomes?

One retrospective cohort study compared reproductive outcomes in a group of women with minimal to mild endometriosis in whom all visible endometriosis was completely removed using laparoscopy prior to ART to women undergoing diagnostic laparoscopy only and found a significantly higher implantation rate, pregnancy rate and live birth rate in the treated group (Opoien et al., 2011). However, this does not imply that a laparoscopy should be performed prior to ART in all women with the only aim to diagnose and subsequently treat peritoneal endometriosis in order to improve the result of the ART treatment.

In infertile women with AFS/ASRM Stage I/II endometriosis undergoing laparoscopy prior to treatment with ART, clinicians may consider the complete surgical removal of endometriosis to improve live birth rate, although the benefit is not well established (Opoien et al., 2011). C

Several studies have evaluated the usefulness of cystectomy prior to ART to improve reproductive outcome in women with ovarian endometrioma, but there is limited consistency in the interpretation of the results (Donnez et al., 2001; Hart et al., 2008; Benschoep et al., 2010). Based on no difference in pregnancy rate, some authors advise cystectomy, whereas others advise caution with surgery because of the possible harmful effect on ovarian reserve.

In infertile women with endometrioma larger than 3 cm there is no evidence that cystectomy prior to treatment with ART improves pregnancy rates (Donnez et al., 2001; Hart et al., 2008; Benschoep et al., 2010). A

In women with endometrioma larger than 3 cm, the GDG recommends clinicians only to consider cystectomy prior to ART to improve endometriosis-associated pain or the accessibility of follicles. GPP

The GDG recommends that clinicians counsel women with endometrioma regarding the risks of reduced ovarian function after surgery and the possible loss of the ovary. The decision to proceed with surgery should be considered carefully if the woman has had previous ovarian surgery. GPP

For infertile women with deep endometriosis, we found no evidence to recommend performing surgical excision of deep nodular lesions prior to ART to improve reproductive outcomes (Bianchi et al., 2009; Papaleo

et al., 2011). However, these women often suffer from pain, requesting surgical treatment.

The effectiveness of surgical excision of deep nodular lesions before treatment with ART in women with endometriosis-associated infertility is not well established with regard to reproductive outcome (Bianchi <i>et al.</i> , 2009; Papaleo <i>et al.</i> , 2011).	C
---	---

Menopause in women with endometriosis

How should menopausal symptoms be treated in women with a history of endometriosis?

Although it is not possible to rule out the possibility that hormone replacement therapy could result in pain and/or disease recurrence in women with endometriosis, there is no evidence that supports depriving severely symptomatic women of this treatment to relieve their menopausal symptoms (Al Kadri *et al.*, 2009).

In women with surgically induced menopause because of endometriosis, oestrogen/progestagen therapy or tibolone can be effective for the treatment of menopausal symptoms (Al Kadri <i>et al.</i> , 2009).	B
The GDG recommends that in post-menopausal women after hysterectomy and with a history of endometriosis, clinicians should avoid unopposed oestrogen treatment. However, the theoretical benefit of avoiding disease reactivation and malignant transformation of residual disease should be balanced against the increased systemic risks associated with combined oestrogen/progestagen or tibolone.	GPP
The GDG recommends that clinicians continue to treat women with a history of endometriosis after surgical menopause with combined oestrogen/progestagen or tibolone at least up to the age of natural menopause.	GPP

Asymptomatic endometriosis

Asymptomatic endometriosis is defined as the incidental finding of peritoneal, ovarian or deep endometriosis without pelvic pain and/or infertility. The true prevalence of asymptomatic endometriosis is not known but between 3 and 45% of women undergoing laparoscopic sterilization has been diagnosed with endometriosis (Rawson, 1991; Gylfason *et al.*, 2010).

Is surgery beneficial for incidental finding of asymptomatic endometriosis at time of surgery?

No clinical trials have been performed to assess whether surgery is beneficial for an incidental finding at the time of surgery. Furthermore, a follow-up study concluded that there is little risk that asymptomatic, minimal endometriosis found incidentally will become symptomatic (Moen and Stokstad, 2002). Hence, surgical excision or ablation (and its inherent risks of damage to the bowel, bladder, ureter and blood vessels) for an incidental finding of asymptomatic endometriosis cannot be endorsed.

The GDG recommends that clinicians should not routinely perform surgical excision and ablation for an incidental finding of asymptomatic endometriosis at the time of surgery, since the natural course of the disease is not clear.	GPP
The GDG recommends that clinicians fully inform and counsel women about any incidental finding of endometriosis.	GPP

Primary prevention of endometriosis

Is there a role for primary prevention of endometriosis?

Primary prevention is aimed at protecting healthy, asymptomatic women from developing endometriosis. From a broad literature search on endometriosis and primary prevention, but also on factors associated with the occurrence, prevalence and development of endometriosis, we only found evidence on oral contraceptives and physical exercise for primary prevention.

The usefulness of oral contraceptives for the primary prevention of endometriosis is uncertain (Vercellini <i>et al.</i> , 2011).	C
The usefulness of physical exercise for the primary prevention of endometriosis is uncertain (Vitonis <i>et al.</i> , 2010).	C

Endometriosis and cancer

What information could be provided to women with endometriosis regarding the development of cancer?

The GDG recommends that clinicians inform women with endometriosis requesting information on their risk of developing cancer that: there is no evidence that endometriosis causes cancer, there is no increase in overall incidence of cancer in women with endometriosis, some cancers (ovarian cancer and non-Hodgkin's lymphoma) are slightly more common in women with endometriosis.	GPP
The GDG recommends that clinicians explain the incidence of some cancers in women with endometriosis in absolute numbers.	GPP
The GDG recommends no change in the current overall management of endometriosis in relation to malignancies, since there are no clinical data on how to lower the slightly increased risk of ovarian cancer or non-Hodgkin's lymphoma in women with endometriosis.	GPP

Conclusion

This guideline on the management of women with endometriosis is the first guideline written using the structured methodology as described in the *Manual for ESHRE Guideline Development* (2009), including an objective and systematic assessment of the literature and an extensive and transparent review by relevant stakeholders. A strong point is that the Guideline was refereed by many clinicians and patient organizations. Not less than 484 comments were received of which 255 indeed in some way changed the content of the Guideline. The first and foremost goal of the guideline is to provide guidance to clinicians who care for women with endometriosis. The objective was to improve on the diagnosis and treatment of endometriosis based on the available literature and, if not present, based on the opinion of members of the GDG. Care was taken to involve women with endometriosis by explicitly asking patient organizations to come up with unsolved problems that were felt to be important. One of the most striking experiences in writing this guideline was the notion that so many key questions could either not be answered or that only little or low quality data were available. Indeed, many issues could not be resolved based on the available literature. Of the 83 recommendations, almost half (32) could only be formulated as a GPP due to lack of robust data.

As a consequence, the lack of clear-cut evidence leads to many research questions. We propose that future research on clinical aspects of endometriosis should include at least: (i) The effectiveness of surgical excision of AFS/ASRM Stage III–IV endometriosis in the treatment of infertility in comparison to direct referral to ART, (ii) the diagnostic value of laparoscopy with or without histological verification, (iii) the best way of secondary prevention of endometriosis, (iv) the best management, with respect to both reproductive outcome and pain, of ovarian endometrioma and of deep endometriosis in women with an active child wish, (v) the use of biomarkers for diagnosis and disease monitoring in endometriosis, (vi) the benefit of anti-adhesion agents in surgery for endometriosis-associated pain, (vii) the clinical management of endometriosis in adolescents, (viii) the psychosocial impact of endometriosis and how this should be addressed: patient-centred care, couple-centred interventions, interventions to improve quality of life, (ix) the definition of the prerequisites of centres of expertise in the management of endometriosis, and finally, (x) the achievement of an earlier diagnosis of the disease, by raising the awareness amongst primary care specialists, gastroenterologists and internal medicine specialists.

Acknowledgements

The Guideline development group acknowledges the help of many clinicians and patient organizations who refereed the content of the Guideline. Not less than 484 comments were received of which 255 indeed in some way changed the Guideline.

Authors' roles

G.D. chaired the GDG and hence fulfilled a leading role in collecting the evidence, writing the manuscript and dealing with reviewer comments. N.V., as methodological expert, performed all literature searches for the guideline, provided methodological support and was overall coordinator of the guideline production. In an earlier stage, A.P. had an important role in scoping the guideline and writing key questions, W.N. had a role as methodological expert and ESHRE Guideline Program coordinator. All other authors, listed in alphabetical order, as guideline group members, contributed equally to the manuscript, by drafting key questions, synthesizing evidence, writing the different parts of the guideline and discussing recommendations until consensus within the group was reached.

Funding

The study has no external funding; all costs are covered by ESHRE.

Conflict of interest

G.A.J.D. reports personal fees from Abbott, outside the submitted work. N.V. has nothing to disclose. C.B. reports grants from Bayer and personal fees from Roche Diagnostics, outside the submitted work. C.C.-J. reports personal fees from MSD, personal fees from Gedeon-Richter, outside the submitted work. T.D. reports grants and personal fees from Merck Serono, grants and personal fees from Schering Plough, grants and personal fees from Ferring, grants and personal fees from Bayer Healthcare, personal fees from Astellas, personal fees from

Preglem, personal fees from Roche, personal fees from Proteomika, outside the submitted work. O.H. reports personal fees from Bayer, personal fees from Gideon-Richter and personal fees from MSD, outside the submitted work. A.W.H. has nothing to disclose. L.K. reports personal fees from Bayer, outside the submitted work. A.N. reports personal fees from MSD, personal fees from Merck-Serono, outside the submitted work. A.P. has nothing to disclose. E.S. reports personal fees from Ethicon, personal fees from Gedeon-Richter, personal fees from Bayer-Schering, outside the submitted work. D.S. reports personal fees from Bayer, outside the submitted work. W.N. reports a personal fee from RCOG, outside the submitted work.

References

- Abou-Setta AM, Al-Inany HG, Farquhar CM. Levonorgestrel-releasing intrauterine device (LNG-IUD) for symptomatic endometriosis following surgery. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2006:CD005072.
- Agarwal A, Gupta S, Sharma RK. Role of oxidative stress in female reproduction. *Reprod Biol Endocrinol* 2005;**3**:28.
- Ahmad G, Duffy JM, Farquhar C, Vail A, Vandekerckhove P, Watson A, Wiseman D. Barrier agents for adhesion prevention after gynaecological surgery. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2008:CD000475.
- Al Kadri H, Hassan S, Al-Fozan HM, Hajeer A. Hormone therapy for endometriosis and surgical menopause. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2009:CD005997.
- Allen C, Hopewell S, Prentice A, Gregory D. Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs for pain in women with endometriosis. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2009:CD004753.
- Astin JA, Marie A, Pelletier KR, Hansen E, Haskell WL. A review of the incorporation of complementary and alternative medicine by mainstream physicians. *Arch Intern Med* 1998;**158**:2303–2310.
- Ballard K, Lowton K, Wright J. What's the delay? A qualitative study of women's experiences of reaching a diagnosis of endometriosis. *Fertil Steril* 2006;**86**:1296–1301.
- Ballard KD, Seaman HE, de Vries CS, Wright JT. Can symptomatology help in the diagnosis of endometriosis? Findings from a national case-control study—Part I. *BJOG* 2008;**115**:1382–1391.
- Barnhart K, Dunsmoor-Su R, Coutifaris C. Effect of endometriosis on in vitro fertilization. *Fertil Steril* 2002;**77**:1148–1155.
- Bazot M, Lafont C, Rouzier R, Roseau G, Thomassin-Naggara I, Darai E. Diagnostic accuracy of physical examination, transvaginal sonography, rectal endoscopic sonography, and magnetic resonance imaging to diagnose deep infiltrating endometriosis. *Fertil Steril* 2009;**92**:1825–1833.
- Belleis P, Dias JA Jr, Podgaec S, Gonzales M, Baracat EC, Abrao MS. Epidemiological and clinical aspects of pelvic endometriosis—a case series. *Rev Assoc Med Bras* 2010;**56**:467–471.
- Benaglia L, Somigliana E, Iemmello R, Colpi E, Nicolosi AE, Ragni G. Endometrioma and oocyte retrieval-induced pelvic abscess: a clinical concern or an exceptional complication?. *Fertil Steril* 2008;**89**:1263–1266.
- Benaglia L, Somigliana E, Vercellini P, Benedetti F, Iemmello R, Vighi V, Santi G, Ragni G. The impact of IVF procedures on endometriosis recurrence. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol* 2010;**148**:49–52.
- Benaglia L, Somigliana E, Santi G, Scarduelli C, Ragni G, Fedele L. IVF and endometriosis-related symptom progression: insights from a prospective study. *Hum Reprod* 2011;**26**:2368–2372.
- Benschop L, Farquhar C, van der Poel N, Heineman MJ. Interventions for women with endometrioma prior to assisted reproductive technology. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2010:CD008571.
- Bergqvist A. Extragenital endometriosis. A review. *Eur J Surg* 1992;**158**:7–12.

- Bergqvist A, Jacobson J, Harris S. A double-blind randomized study of the treatment of endometriosis with nafarelin or nafarelin plus norethisterone. *Gynecol Endocrinol* 1997;**11**:187–194.
- Bianchi PH, Pereira RM, Zanatta A, Alegretti JR, Motta EL, Serafini PC. Extensive excision of deep infiltrative endometriosis before in vitro fertilization significantly improves pregnancy rates. *J Minim Invasive Gynecol* 2009;**16**:174–180.
- Brown CB, Luciano AA, Martin D, Peers E, Scrimgeour A, diZerega GS, Adept Adhesion Reduction Study G. Adept (icodextrin 4% solution) reduces adhesions after laparoscopic surgery for adhesiolysis: a double-blind, randomized, controlled study. *Fertil Steril* 2007;**88**:1413–1426.
- Brown J, Pan A, Hart RJ. Gonadotrophin-releasing hormone analogues for pain associated with endometriosis. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2010:CD008475.
- Brown J, Kives S, Akhtar M. Progestagens and anti-progestagens for pain associated with endometriosis. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2012;**3**:CD002122.
- Burks-Wicks C, Cohen M, Fallbacher J, RNT, Wieser F. A Western primer of Chinese herbal therapy in endometriosis and infertility. *Womens Health (Lond Engl)* 2005;**1**:447–463.
- Carmona F, Martinez-Zamora MA, Rabanal A, Martinez-Roman S, Balasch J. Ovarian cystectomy versus laser vaporization in the treatment of ovarian endometriomas: a randomized clinical trial with a five-year follow-up. *Fertil Steril* 2011;**96**:251–254.
- Chan E. Quality of efficacy research in complementary and alternative medicine. *JAMA* 2008;**299**:2685–2686.
- Chang FH, Chou HH, Soong YK, Chang MY, Lee CL, Lai YM. Efficacy of isotopic ¹³CO₂ laser laparoscopic evaporation in the treatment of infertile patients with minimal and mild endometriosis: a life table cumulative pregnancy rates study. *J Am Assoc Gynecol Laparosc* 1997;**4**:219–223.
- Chapron C, Dubuisson JB, Pansini V, Vieira M, Fauconnier A, Barakat H, Dousset B. Routine clinical examination is not sufficient for diagnosing and locating deeply infiltrating endometriosis. *J Am Assoc Gynecol Laparosc* 2002;**9**:115–119.
- Chapron C, Souza C, Borghese B, Lafay-Pillet MC, Santulli P, Bijaoui G, Goffinet F, de Ziegler D. Oral contraceptives and endometriosis: the past use of oral contraceptives for treating severe primary dysmenorrhea is associated with endometriosis, especially deep infiltrating endometriosis. *Hum Reprod* 2011;**26**:2028–2035.
- Coccia ME, Rizzello F, Gianfranco S. Does controlled ovarian hyperstimulation in women with a history of endometriosis influence recurrence rate? *J Womens Health (Larchmt)* 2010;**19**:2063–2069.
- Condous G, Van Calster B, Van Huffel S, Lam A. What is the value of preoperative bimanual pelvic examination in women undergoing laparoscopic total hysterectomy? *J Minim Invasive Gynecol* 2007;**14**:334–338.
- D'Hooghe TM, Denys B, Spiessens C, Meuleman C, Debrock S. Is the endometriosis recurrence rate increased after ovarian hyperstimulation? *Fertil Steril* 2006;**86**:283–290.
- Davis GD, Thillet E, Lindemann J. Clinical characteristics of adolescent endometriosis. *J Adolesc Health* 1993;**14**:362–368.
- Davis L, Kennedy SS, Moore J, Prentice A. Modern combined oral contraceptives for pain associated with endometriosis. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2007:CD001019.
- De Cicco C, Corona R, Schonman R, Mailova K, Ussia A, Koninckx P. Bowel resection for deep endometriosis: a systematic review. *BJOG* 2011;**118**:285–291.
- Donnez J, Squifflet J. Complications, pregnancy and recurrence in a prospective series of 500 patients operated on by the shaving technique for deep rectovaginal endometriotic nodules. *Hum Reprod* 2010;**25**:1949–1958.
- Donnez J, Wyns C, Nisolle M. Does ovarian surgery for endometriomas impair the ovarian response to gonadotropin? *Fertil Steril* 2001;**76**:662–665.
- Eisenberg DM, Davis RB, Ettner SL, Appel S, Wilkey S, Van Rompay M, Kessler RC. Trends in alternative medicine use in the United States, 1990–1997: results of a follow-up national survey. *JAMA* 1998;**280**:1569–1575.
- Eskenazi B, Warner ML. Epidemiology of endometriosis. *Obstet Gynecol Clin North Am* 1997;**24**:235–258.
- Eskenazi B, Warner M, Bonsignore L, Olive D, Samuels S, Vercellini P. Validation study of nonsurgical diagnosis of endometriosis. *Fertil Steril* 2001;**76**:929–935.
- Ferreira RA, Vieira CS, Rosa ESJC, Rosa-e-Silva AC, Nogueira AA, Ferriani RA. Effects of the levonorgestrel-releasing intrauterine system on cardiovascular risk markers in patients with endometriosis: a comparative study with the GnRH analogue. *Contraception* 2010;**81**:117–122.
- Ferrero S, Gillott DJ, Venturini PL, Remorgida V. Use of aromatase inhibitors to treat endometriosis-related pain symptoms: a systematic review. *Reprod Biol Endocrinol* 2011;**9**:89.
- Flower A, Liu JP, Chen S, Lewith G, Little P. Chinese herbal medicine for endometriosis. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2009:CD006568.
- Forman RG, Robinson JN, Mehta Z, Barlow DH. Patient history as a simple predictor of pelvic pathology in subfertile women. *Hum Reprod* 1993;**8**:53–55.
- Furness S, Yap C, Farquhar C, Cheong Y. Pre and post-operative medical therapy for endometriosis surgery. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2004:CD003678.
- Gerhard I, Postneek F. Auricular acupuncture in the treatment of female infertility. *Gynecol Endocrinol* 1992;**6**:171–181.
- Gomes MK, Ferriani RA, Rosa e Silva JC, Japur de Sa Rosa e Silva AC, Vieira CS, Candido dos Reis FJ. The levonorgestrel-releasing intrauterine system and endometriosis staging. *Fertil Steril* 2007;**87**:1231–1234.
- Gylfason JT, Kristjansson KA, Sverrisdottir G, Jonsdottir K, Rafnsson V, Geirsson RT. Pelvic endometriosis diagnosed in an entire nation over 20 years. *Am J Epidemiol* 2010;**172**:237–243.
- Harris P, Rees R. The prevalence of complementary and alternative medicine use among the general population: a systematic review of the literature. *Complement Ther Med* 2000;**8**:88–96.
- Hart RJ, Hickey M, Maouris P, Buckett W. Excisional surgery versus ablative surgery for ovarian endometriomata. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2008:CD004992.
- Healey M, Ang WC, Cheng C. Surgical treatment of endometriosis: a prospective randomized double-blinded trial comparing excision and ablation. *Fertil Steril* 2010;**94**:2536–2540.
- Hudelist G, English J, Thomas AE, Tinelli A, Singer CF, Keckstein J. Diagnostic accuracy of transvaginal ultrasound for non-invasive diagnosis of bowel endometriosis: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol* 2011;**37**:257–263.
- Hudelist G, Fritzer N, Thomas A, Niehues C, Oppelt P, Haas D, Tammaa A, Salzer H. Diagnostic delay for endometriosis in Austria and Germany: causes and possible consequences. *Hum Reprod* 2012;**27**:3412–3416.
- Hughes E, Brown J, Collins JJ, Farquhar C, Fedorkow DM, Vandekerckhove P. Ovulation suppression for endometriosis. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2007:CD000155.
- Jacobson TZ, Duffy JM, Barlow D, Koninckx PR, Garry R. Laparoscopic surgery for pelvic pain associated with endometriosis. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2009:CD001300.
- Jacobson TZ, Duffy JM, Barlow D, Farquhar C, Koninckx PR, Olive D. Laparoscopic surgery for subfertility associated with endometriosis. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2010:CD001398.

- Jenkins TR, Liu CY, White J. Does response to hormonal therapy predict presence or absence of endometriosis? *J Minim Invasive Gynecol* 2008; **15**:82–86.
- Joseph J, Sahn SA. Thoracic endometriosis syndrome: new observations from an analysis of 110 cases. *Am J Med* 1996; **100**:164–170.
- Jubanyik KJ, Comite F. Extrapelvic endometriosis. *Obstet Gynecol Clin North Am* 1997; **24**:411–440.
- Kennedy S, Bergqvist A, Chapron C, D'Hooghe T, Dunselman G, Greb R, Hummelshoj L, Prentice A, Saridogan E, ESHRE Special Interest Group for Endometriosis and Endometrium Guideline Development. ESHRE guideline for the diagnosis and treatment of endometriosis. *Hum Reprod* 2005; **20**:2698–2704.
- Kondo W, Bourdel N, Tamburro S, Cavoli D, Jardon K, Rabischong B, Botchorishvili R, Pouly J, Mage G, Canis M. Complications after surgery for deeply infiltrating pelvic endometriosis. *BJOG* 2011; **118**:292–298.
- Koninckx PR, Meuleman C, Oosterlynck D, Cornillie FJ. Diagnosis of deep endometriosis by clinical examination during menstruation and plasma CA-125 concentration. *Fertil Steril* 1996; **65**:280–287.
- Lemaire GS. More than just menstrual cramps: symptoms and uncertainty among women with endometriosis. *J Obstet Gynecol Neonatal Nurs* 2004; **33**:71–79.
- Liang CC, Tsai CC, Chen TC, Soong YK. Management of perineal endometriosis. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet* 1996; **53**:261–265.
- Ling FW. Randomized controlled trial of depot leuprolide in patients with chronic pelvic pain and clinically suspected endometriosis. Pelvic Pain Study Group. *Obstet Gynecol* 1999; **93**:51–58.
- Luscombe GM, Markham R, Judio M, Grigoriu A, Fraser IS. Abdominal bloating: an under-recognized endometriosis symptom. *J Obstet Gynaecol Can* 2009; **31**:1159–1171.
- Makarainen L, Ronnberg L, Kauppila A. Medroxyprogesterone acetate supplementation diminishes the hypoestrogenic side effects of gonadotropin-releasing hormone agonist without changing its efficacy in endometriosis. *Fertil Steril* 1996; **65**:29–34.
- Marinis A, Vassiliou J, Kannas D, Theodosopoulos TK, Kondi-Pafiti A, Kairi E, Smyrniotis V. Endometriosis mimicking soft tissue tumors: diagnosis and treatment. *Eur J Gynaecol Oncol* 2006; **27**:168–170.
- Marjoribanks J, Proctor M, Farquhar C, Derks RS. Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs for dysmenorrhoea. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2010:CD001751.
- May KE, Conduit-Hulbert SA, Villar J, Kirtley S, Kennedy SH, Becker CM. Peripheral biomarkers of endometriosis: a systematic review. *Hum Reprod Update* 2010; **16**:651–674.
- May KE, Villar J, Kirtley S, Kennedy SH, Becker CM. Endometrial alterations in endometriosis: a systematic review of putative biomarkers. *Hum Reprod Update* 2011; **17**:637–653.
- Meuleman C, Vandenabeele B, Fieuws S, Spiessens C, Timmerman D, D'Hooghe T. High prevalence of endometriosis in infertile women with normal ovulation and normospermic partners. *Fertil Steril* 2009; **92**:68–74.
- Meuleman C, D'Hoore A, Van Cleynenbreugel B, Tomassetti C, D'Hooghe T. Why we need international agreement on terms and definitions to assess clinical outcome after endometriosis surgery. *Hum Reprod* 2011a; **26**:1598–1599; author reply 1599–1600.
- Meuleman C, Tomassetti C, D'Hoore A, Van Cleynenbreugel B, Penninckx F, Vergote I, D'Hooghe T. Surgical treatment of deeply infiltrating endometriosis with colorectal involvement. *Hum Reprod Update* 2011b; **17**:311–326.
- Moen MH, Stokstad T. A long-term follow-up study of women with asymptomatic endometriosis diagnosed incidentally at sterilization. *Fertil Steril* 2002; **78**:773–776.
- Moghissi KS, Schlaff WD, Olive DL, Skinner MA, Yin H. Goserelin acetate (Zoladex) with or without hormone replacement therapy for the treatment of endometriosis. *Fertil Steril* 1998; **69**:1056–1062.
- Mol BW, Bayram N, Lijmer JG, Wiegnerink MA, Bongers MY, van der Veen F, Bossuyt PM. The performance of CA-125 measurement in the detection of endometriosis: a meta-analysis. *Fertil Steril* 1998; **70**:1101–1108.
- Moore J, Copley S, Morris J, Lindsell D, Golding S, Kennedy S. A systematic review of the accuracy of ultrasound in the diagnosis of endometriosis. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol* 2002; **20**:630–634.
- Nawathe A, Patwardhan S, Yates D, Harrison GR, Khan KS. Systematic review of the effects of aromatase inhibitors on pain associated with endometriosis. *BJOG* 2008; **115**:818–822.
- Nezhat C, Crowgey S, Nezhat F. Videolaseroscopy for the treatment of endometriosis associated with infertility. *Fertil Steril* 1989; **51**:237–240.
- Nezhat C, Hajhosseini B, King LP. Robotic-assisted laparoscopic treatment of bowel, bladder, and ureteral endometriosis. *JSL* 2011; **15**:387–392.
- Nisolle M, Pasleau F, Foidart JM. Extragenital endometriosis. *J Gynecol Obstet Biol Reprod (Paris)* 2007; **36**:173–178.
- Nissotakis C, Zouros E, Revelos K, Sakorafas GH. Abdominal wall endometrioma: a case report and review of the literature. *AORN J* 2010; **91**:730–742; quiz 743–735.
- Nnoaham KE, Hummelshoj L, Webster P, d'Hooghe T, de Cicco Nardone F, de Cicco Nardone C, Jenkinson C, Kennedy SH, Zondervan KT, World Endometriosis Research Foundation Global Study of Women's Health consortium. Impact of endometriosis on quality of life and work productivity: a multicenter study across ten countries. *Fertil Steril* 2011; **96**:366–373 e368.
- Nowroozi K, Chase JS, Check JH, Wu CH. The importance of laparoscopic coagulation of mild endometriosis in infertile women. *Int J Fertil* 1987; **32**:442–444.
- Nulsen JC, Walsh S, Dumez S, Metzger DA. A randomized and longitudinal study of human menopausal gonadotropin with intrauterine insemination in the treatment of infertility. *Obstet Gynecol* 1993; **82**:780–786.
- Olive DL, Stohs GF, Metzger DA, Franklin RR. Expectant management and hydrotubations in the treatment of endometriosis-associated infertility. *Fertil Steril* 1985; **44**:35–41.
- Opoien HK, Fedorcsak P, Byholm T, Tanbo T. Complete surgical removal of minimal and mild endometriosis improves outcome of subsequent IVF/ICSI treatment. *Reprod Biomed Online* 2011; **23**:389–395.
- Pabuccu R, Onalan G, Kaya C. GnRH agonist and antagonist protocols for stage I-II endometriosis and endometrioma in in vitro fertilization/intracytoplasmic sperm injection cycles. *Fertil Steril* 2007; **88**:832–839.
- Papaleo E, Ottolina J, Viganò P, Brigante C, Marsiglio E, De Michele F, Candiani M. Deep pelvic endometriosis negatively affects ovarian reserve and the number of oocytes retrieved for in vitro fertilization. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand* 2011; **90**:878–884.
- Pascual MA, Guerriero S, Hereter L, Barri-Soldevila P, Ajossa S, Graupera B, Rodriguez I. Diagnosis of endometriosis of the rectovaginal septum using introital three-dimensional ultrasonography. *Fertil Steril* 2010; **94**:2761–2765.
- Petta CA, Ferriani RA, Abrao MS, Hassan D, Rosa ESJC, Podgaec S, Bahamondes L. Randomized clinical trial of a levonorgestrel-releasing intrauterine system and a depot GnRH analogue for the treatment of chronic pelvic pain in women with endometriosis. *Hum Reprod* 2005; **20**:1993–1998.
- Proctor ML, Smith CA, Farquhar CM, Stones RW. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation and acupuncture for primary dysmenorrhoea. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2002:CD002123.
- Proctor M, Latthe P, Farquhar C, Khan K, Johnson N. Surgical interruption of pelvic nerve pathways for primary and secondary dysmenorrhoea. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2005:CD001896.

- Rawson JM. Prevalence of endometriosis in asymptomatic women. *J Reprod Med* 1991;**36**:513–515.
- Ripps BA, Martin DC. Correlation of focal pelvic tenderness with implant dimension and stage of endometriosis. *J Reprod Med* 1992;**37**:620–624.
- Sallam HN, Garcia-Velasco JA, Dias S, Arici A. Long-term pituitary down-regulation before in vitro fertilization (IVF) for women with endometriosis. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2006;CD004635.
- Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network EH, 8–10 Hillside Crescent, Edinburgh EH7 5EA. 2010. www.sign.ac.uk (12 December 2013, date last accessed).
- Seracchioli R, Mabrouk M, Guerrini M, Manuzzi L, Savelli L, Frasca C, Venturoli S. Dyschezia and posterior deep infiltrating endometriosis: analysis of 360 cases. *J Minim Invasive Gynecol* 2008;**15**:695–699.
- Seracchioli R, Mabrouk M, Manuzzi L, Vicenzi C, Frasca C, Elmakky A, Venturoli S. Post-operative use of oral contraceptive pills for prevention of anatomical relapse or symptom-recurrence after conservative surgery for endometriosis. *Hum Reprod* 2009;**24**:2729–2735.
- Sesti F, Pietropolli A, Capozzolo T, Broccoli P, Pierangeli S, Bollea MR, Piccione E. Hormonal suppression treatment or dietary therapy versus placebo in the control of painful symptoms after conservative surgery for endometriosis stage III–IV. A randomized comparative trial. *Fertil Steril* 2007;**88**:1541–1547.
- Song JY, Borncamp E, Mehaffey P, Rotman C. Large abdominal wall endometrioma following laparoscopic hysterectomy. *JSL* 2011;**15**:261–263.
- Stratton P, Winkel C, Premkumar A, Chow C, Wilson J, Hearn-Stokes R, Heo S, Merino M, Nieman LK. Diagnostic accuracy of laparoscopy, magnetic resonance imaging, and histopathologic examination for the detection of endometriosis. *Fertil Steril* 2003;**79**:1078–1085.
- Taskin O, Yalcinoglu AI, Kucuk S, Uryan I, Buhur A, Burak F. Effectiveness of tibolone on hypoestrogenic symptoms induced by goserelin treatment in patients with endometriosis. *Fertil Steril* 1997;**67**:40–45.
- Thomassin I, Bazot M, Detchev R, Barranger E, Cortez A, Darai E. Symptoms before and after surgical removal of colorectal endometriosis that are assessed by magnetic resonance imaging and rectal endoscopic sonography. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2004;**190**:1264–1271.
- Trew G, Pistofidis G, Pados G, Lower A, Mettler L, Wallwiener D, Korell M, Pouly JL, Coccia ME, Audebert A et al. Gynaecological endoscopic evaluation of 4% icodextrin solution: a European, multicentre, double-blind, randomized study of the efficacy and safety in the reduction of de novo adhesions after laparoscopic gynaecological surgery. *Hum Reprod* 2011;**26**:2015–2027.
- Tummon IS, Asher LJ, Martin JS, Tulandi T. Randomized controlled trial of superovulation and insemination for infertility associated with minimal or mild endometriosis. *Fertil Steril* 1997;**68**:8–12.
- Van Holsbeke C, Van Calster B, Guerriero S, Savelli L, Paladini D, Lissoni AA, Czekierdowski A, Fischerova D, Zhang J, Mestdagh G et al. Endometriomas: their ultrasound characteristics. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol* 2010;**35**:730–740.
- Vercellini P, Trespidi L, Colombo A, Vendola N, Marchini M, Crosignani PG. A gonadotropin-releasing hormone agonist versus a low-dose oral contraceptive for pelvic pain associated with endometriosis. *Fertil Steril* 1993;**60**:75–79.
- Vercellini P, Frontino G, De Giorgi O, Pietropaolo G, Pasin R, Crosignani PG. Continuous use of an oral contraceptive for endometriosis-associated recurrent dysmenorrhea that does not respond to a cyclic pill regimen. *Fertil Steril* 2003;**80**:560–563.
- Vercellini P, Fedele L, Aimi G, De Giorgi O, Consonni D, Crosignani PG. Reproductive performance, pain recurrence and disease relapse after conservative surgical treatment for endometriosis: the predictive value of the current classification system. *Hum Reprod* 2006;**21**:2679–2685.
- Vercellini P, Barbara G, Somigliana E, Bianchi S, Abbiati A, Fedele L. Comparison of contraceptive ring and patch for the treatment of symptomatic endometriosis. *Fertil Steril* 2010a;**93**:2150–2161.
- Vercellini P, Somigliana E, Vigano P, De Matteis S, Barbara G, Fedele L. Post-operative endometriosis recurrence: a plea for prevention based on pathogenetic, epidemiological and clinical evidence. *Reprod Biomed Online* 2010b;**21**:259–265.
- Vercellini P, Eskenazi B, Consonni D, Somigliana E, Parazzini F, Abbiati A, Fedele L. Oral contraceptives and risk of endometriosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Hum Reprod Update* 2011;**17**:159–170.
- Vitonis AF, Hankinson SE, Hornstein MD, Missmer SA. Adult physical activity and endometriosis risk. *Epidemiology* 2010;**21**:16–23.
- Werbrouck E, Spiessens C, Meuleman C, D'Hooghe T. No difference in cycle pregnancy rate and in cumulative live-birth rate between women with surgically treated minimal to mild endometriosis and women with unexplained infertility after controlled ovarian hyperstimulation and intrauterine insemination. *Fertil Steril* 2006;**86**:566–571.
- Wright J, Lotfallah H, Jones K, Lovell D. A randomized trial of excision versus ablation for mild endometriosis. *Fertil Steril* 2005;**83**:1830–1836.
- Wurm BF, Wurm LJ, King CR, Heuer MA, Roscow AS, Hornberger K, Scharf ES. Treating fallopian tube occlusion with a manual pelvic physical therapy. *Altern Ther Health Med* 2008;**14**:18–23.
- Wykes CB, Clark TJ, Khan KS. Accuracy of laparoscopy in the diagnosis of endometriosis: a systematic quantitative review. *BJOG* 2004;**111**:1204–1212.
- Xu X, Yin H, Tang D, Zhang L, Gosden RG. Application of traditional Chinese medicine in the treatment of infertility. *Hum Fertil (Camb)* 2003;**6**:161–168.
- Zegers-Hochschild F, Adamson GD, de Mouzon J, Ishihara O, Mansour R, Nygren K, Sullivan E, van der Poel S, International Committee for Monitoring Assisted Reproductive Technology and World Health Organisation. The International Committee for Monitoring Assisted Reproductive Technology (ICMART) and the World Health Organization (WHO) Revised Glossary on ART Terminology, 2009. *Hum Reprod* 2009;**24**:2683–2687.
- Zhou J, Qu F. Treating gynaecological disorders with traditional Chinese medicine: a review. *Afr J Tradit Complement Altern Med* 2009;**6**:494–517.
- Zhu X, Hamilton KD, McNicol ED. Acupuncture for pain in endometriosis. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2011;CD007864.